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Abstract. Thripadectes treehunters are among the most poorly known cavity-nesting furnariids. In this paper we review the existing information on their nests, present new field observations from Ecuador, and add unpublished museum data. We describe for the first time the nests of two species, *T. flammulatus* and *T. holostictus*. Nests of Thripadectes are all shallow cups of vegetative material, lacking any lining of animal origin such as feathers or hair. Most species have consistent preferences for particular plant materials. *Thripadectes rufobrunneus*, *T. virgaticeps*, and *T. holostictus* use mainly rootlets, *T. melanorhynchus* uses stems of compound leaves exclusively, and *T. flammulatus* incorporates plant materials derived from grass, bamboo, and treeferns. Larger samples of nests from across these species’ ranges are needed to determine the generality of this pattern and whether the availability of material plays a role. Several features of Thripadectes nest architecture are shared by putative sister genera *Automolus*, *Hylocryptus*, and *Hyloctistes*.
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Revisión de la Arquitectura de Nidos en el Género *Thripadectes* con Descripciones de Nuevos Nidos de Ecuador

Resumen. Entre los furnáridos que anidan en cavidades, las especies del género *Thripadectes* son las menos conocidas. En este artículo revisamos la información existente sobre los nidos,
presentamos nuevas observaciones de campo colectadas en Ecuador, y añadimos datos inéditos de museos. Describimos por primera vez los nidos de dos especies (T. flammulatus y T. holostictus). Los nidos de todos los Thripadectes son tazas poco profundas de material vegetativo que carecen de cualquier revestimiento de origen animal tal como plumas o pelos. La mayoría de las especies exhiben preferencias consistentes por materiales vegetales particulares. Thripadectes rufobrunneus, T. virgaticeps y T. holostictus usan principalmente raízicas, T. melanorhynchus utiliza exclusivamente hojas compuestas, y T. flammulatus incorpora materiales derivados de pasto, bambú y helechos arborescentes. Para determinar la generalidad de estos patrones y si la disponibilidad de materiales juega un papel importante, se requieren mayores muestras de nidos provenientes de toda la distribución de estas especies. Varias características de la arquitectura de los nidos de Thripadectes son compartidas con las de los nidos de las especies de sus géneros hermanos, Automolus, Hylacryptus y Hyloctistes.

Neotropical ovenbirds of the family Furnariidae have long been recognized for their architecturally diverse nests (e.g., Ihering 1914, Vaurie 1980), and more recently this extraordinary diversity has been analyzed in a phylogenetic context (Zyskowski and Prum 1999, Irestedt et al. 2006). Unfortunately, our ability to fully understand the evolution of nest-building behavior in this family is limited by the lack of critical details in many nest descriptions, limited data on intraspecific variation, and complete lack of information on nests of over 50 species. These deficiencies are most apparent among furnariids known or inferred to nest in subterranean or tree cavities (Zyskowski and Prum 1999, Remsen 2003). Treehunters of the genus Thripadectes, the subject of this article, are among the most poorly known.

Thripadectes treehunters are robust thrushlike furnariids with chestnut-brown plumage and variable amounts of buff streaking. Most species are Andean in distribution, but one reaches the Coastal Cordillera of Venezuela and another is confined to the highlands of Costa Rica and western Panama. The highest species diversity is reached in Colombia and Ecuador, where five of the seven species are found (Hilty and Brown 1986, Ridgely and Greenfield 2001). All species occupy the undergrowth of montane evergreen forests, often favoring dense bamboo thickets, from the foothills to 3500 m above sea level. The birds’ dense, poorly illuminated habitat, combined with their skulking, furtive behavior, makes them difficult to find and study. For this reason, few nests of Thripadectes have been found, and little is known about any aspect of the treehunters’ breeding biology.

At the time of the first major review of furnariid nest architecture (Vaurie 1980), the nest of only one species, the Streak-breasted Treehunter (T. rufobrunneus), was known to science. Not surprisingly, this Central American species remains the only Thripadectes for which more than one nest has been described (Zyskowski and Prum 1999, Remsen 2003). Although we can now say with confidence that all studied species of Thripadectes construct their nests in self-excavated subterranean cavities, the structure and composition of the nest is known for only three of the seven species (Remsen 2003). Here we review the existing information on the nests and present new field observations of nesting behavior of four species from Ecuador along with some unpublished museum data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During field work in Ecuador from 2004 to 2006, we studied six nests of four species of Thripadectes. We found the nests at the following localities: Bellavista Cloudforest Reserve, above the town of Tandayapa, Pichincha Province (0° 15' N, 78° 38' W); Tandayapa Bird Lodge, Tandayapa Valley, Pichincha Province (0° 00' N, 78° 41' W); Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo Province (0° 36' S, 77° 53.4' W); western slope of the Sumaco Volcano, along the access road to the town of Pacto Sumaco, Napo Province (0° 34' S, 77° 38' W); private reserve of the Mushullacta community, Napo Province (0° 50' S, 77° 34' W); and Tapichalaca Biological Reserve, near the town of Valladolid, Zamora-Chinchipe Province (4° 30' S, 79° 00' W). We identified birds attending nests by carefully examining plumage and body proportions through 10 × 24 binoculars and sometimes also by using species-specific song playback. In two instances, the birds arriving at their burrows were videotaped (T. virgaticeps) or photographed (T. flammulatus).

We also studied nests housed at the Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology in Camarillo, California (WFVZ), and received unpublished descriptions and photographs of Thripadectes nests from colleagues working in Costa Rica, Colombia, and Ecuador (see Acknowledgments).

SPECIES ACCOUNTS

Uniform Treehunter (Thripadectes ignobilis). Three nest sites, all burrows in banks, have been described from southwestern Colombia, but the nests themselves have not been examined (Strewe 2001). In 1878, T. K. Salmon (in Sclater and Salvin 1879) collected the only known egg at the type locality of the species in Colombia. The description of the egg immediately follows that of the type specimen without a comment on their potential association (Sclater and Salvin 1879). Neither the egg voucher [British Museum (Natural History) BMNH 154898] nor the holotype (BMNH 1889.5.20.324) is accompanied by any mention of the nest (D. Russell, pers. comm., 2007).

Streak-breasted Treehunter (Thripadectes rufobrunneus). Three nests of this species have been reported previously (Worth 1939, Hartman 1957, Skutch 1969). Their architecture was summarized thoroughly by Wetmore (1972). Hartman’s nest was well illustrated with photographs, and Worth’s nest is now housed at WFVZ (154898). Therefore, here we present only previously unpublished observations of nests subsequently found in Costa Rica. All nests found to date were built in burrows and were constructed of rootlets. To our knowledge, the use of leaf stems has never been reported (contra Remsen 2003).

F. G. Stiles (pers. comm., 2006) has seen active burrows of T. rufobrunneus several times in Costa Rica. A nest discovered at Muñeco (Cartago Province) on 25 March 1973 was at the end of a self-excavated burrow, 40 cm long, and was built of rootlets with a few treefern scales in the lining. It resembled the Colombian nest of T. flammulatus described above but was thinner and had fewer treefern scales. The nest contained two week-old nestlings on 4 June. M. Marin and J. Schmitt found a nest of this species on 28 March 1986 on Volcán Barba, 2000 m, Heredia Province, Costa Rica, in a burrow 2 m high in a road embankment. The burrow was about 1.5 m long, ending in a chamber 15–15 cm high and 20 cm wide. The chamber contained a platform constructed entirely of rootlets. The adult bird was seen going in and out of the burrow, but the nest was empty. The nest is housed at WFVZ (154831).

M. Marin collected another Costa Rican nest near Rancho Redondo on Rio Tiriti, 2000 m, San José Province. The nest (WFVZ 165491) was in a burrow 50–60 cm long in a high embankment along the river and was a thick platform of rootlets. On 4 June 1997 it contained one fresh egg.
Also noteworthy is a recent record of burrow excavation from Monteverde, Puntarenas Province, Costa Rica. We have seen a photograph, taken by L. J. Catchick on 23 April 2005, of a Streack-breasted Treehunter exiting a burrow with its bill filled and coated with soil.

Black-billed Treehunter (Thripadectes melanorhynchus). Only one nest of this species, representing the nominate subspecies, has been described previously (Kiff et al. 1989). The nest, discovered in Napo Province of Ecuador, was placed at the end of a 1-m-long burrow and constructed entirely of leaf rachises. We found two additional nests in Ecuador.

The first nest was discovered on 19 February 2005 on the western slope of the Sumaco Volcano at ca. 1300 m. The nest burrow was in the claylike soil of a roadside bank, 5 m above the road, and 1.5 m below the top of the bank. Surrounding vegetation was mostly second growth, with the nearest intact (but selectively logged) forest over 100 m away. Two adults were entering the burrow with food, and the loud begging of at least two nestlings was easily heard from the road below. One prey item was a butterfly (Catoblepia sp., Brassolinae, Nymphalidae). We were unable to access the nest.

We found the second nest on 3 April 2005 in the private forest reserve of the Mushullacta community at 1150 m. It contained two nestlings that fledged the following day. The nestlings were similar in appearance to adults, with only a few sparse tufts of gray down remaining on their heads. Rictal flanges were barely noticeable and were bright yellow. Two adults fed the nestlings, one arriving at the nest with a beetle grub (Scarabaeidae) 3–4 cm long.

The nest burrow was in the claylike soil of the side of a nearly circular (diameter ca. 3 × 4 m) collapsed depression (2.5 m deep) caused by subterranean flow of water inside a mature subtropical forest in the foothills. The entrance was 1 m above the depression's floor, 1.5 m below the surrounding ground, and well hidden by 0.5 m of overhanging soil. The tunnel entrance was round, 9 cm in diameter, and opened to a gently upsloping tunnel of roughly the same diameter. The tunnel continued straight for 34 cm, then turned at a 45° angle for 15 cm before opening into a chamber estimated to be 163 cm in diameter and 12 cm tall. The circular nest was built almost entirely of loosely interwoven bare leaf rachises mixed with only a few thin sticks (Fig. 1D). Outside dimensions were 14 cm wide by 4 cm high. The inner depression was 7 cm in diameter and 3 cm in depth, and the walls were on average 1.3 cm thick. The nest was constructed entirely of loosely

interwoven dark brown rootlets, coarse on the outside but finer and arranged circularly in the weakly differentiated lining (Fig. 1C). The two nestlings, with eyes partially open and wings with pin feathers just breaking their sheaths, weighed 33.5 and 29.8 g. Their rictal flanges were pale yellow, and the mouth linings were yellow fading to pinkish internally. Contour pin feathers were protruding 1–2 mm from sheaths on the dorsum and breast but were still unbroken on the crown. Exposed skin was pinkish to bluish gray, obscured dorsally by sparse gray down. The soft begging calls of the nestlings, in the absence of an adult, revealed the nest as we were passing by. During our presence the adults remained silent, staying hidden in dense foliage except for one brief approach by a parent carrying a large (ca. 3 cm long) black caterpillar in its bill. In addition, we found shell fragments of a terrestrial snail on the front rim of the nest.

We found a second nest of this species on 4 August 2006 at the Tandayapa Bird Lodge, 1300 m. The nest burrow was in the sandy soil of a bank adjacent to the lodge’s parking lot, 2.5 m above the ground, and 0.7 m below the top of the bank. The entrance was sheltered by a slight (ca. 10 cm) overhang. The round entrance was 9 cm in diameter and led to a straight, level tunnel, 45 cm long, of similar diameter, which opened into a larger chamber. We did not remove the nest cup to measure it, but by feel it was roughly 8 cm wide by 3 cm deep internally. We removed small portions of the nest from several locations in the cup and found only thin dark rootlets. The nest contained two white nonglasy eggs, slightly stained with dirt, cloacal secretions, and blood. The eggs measured 32.3 × 23.9 and 32.8 × 23.9 mm. The incubating adult was reluctant to flush, remaining in the burrow even when we tapped the bank near the entrance and blocked light at the opening. It flushed only when we inserted a stick into the tunnel.

The only previously known set of eggs of T. holostictus was collected by T. K. Salmon in Colombia (Slater and Salvin 1879) and is housed at the National History Museum in Tring, England (BMNH). Unfortunately, the eggs are not accompanied by any mention of the nest (D. Russell, pers. comm., 2007).

Striped Treehunter (Thripadectes virgaticeps). Todate, the description of only one nest has been published (Marin and Carrion 1994), and it pertains to the nominate subspecies of the west slope of the Andes. The nest contained recently hatched nestlings on 13 June 1989 and was described as a nearly flat platform made entirely of rootlets. Its shape and size were not reported in the original publication, but the voucher specimen, which is housed at the Natural History Museum in Tring, England (BMNH), includes a report of five active burrows found in banks along forest roads in Colombia (Hilty and Brown 1986) and a nest with nestlings in October in northwest Ecuador (Greeney and Nunnery 2006). None of these nests, however, were examined closely.

On 2 December 2004 we found the first known nest of the east Andean subspecies T. v. sumaco near the Yanayacu Biological Station at ca. 2000 m. The area is adjacent to the Sumaco Volcano, the subspecies’ type locality (Chapman 1925). The habitat was a steep slope covered with dense second-growth forest near the arc-shaped lip of a recent landslide. The vertical wall of exposed soil at the origin of the landslide had several avian excavations, at least one of these belonging to a Highland Motmot (Momotus aequatorialis). The burrow of the Strach-capped Treehunter was ca. 1.5 m below the top, 163 cm above the foot of the vertical wall, and was well hidden under a 2-m-long overhang created by the roots of a large tree. The tunnel was 100 cm long,
was in the middle of a small clay protrusion and had a prominent lip below on which the adults perched.

The entrance hole was horizontally oval, 10 × 8.5 cm in diameter, and was worn smooth, presumably by the movement of adults. The entrance was in the middle of a small clay protrusion and had a prominent lip below on which the adults perched.

The nest extracted from the terminal chamber was a bulky oval cup with external diameter of 18.5 × 16 cm and height of 7 cm. The internal depression was 10 × 8.5 cm in diameter and 3.5 cm in depth, and the walls were 2.5–4 cm thick. The nest was constructed mainly of dark brown rootlets and lined with slightly inclined, and ended in an expanded nest chamber. Although we did not measure the chamber, from the nest’s size we estimated it was about 19 cm in diameter. The burrow originated in a layer of wet clay, but the walls of the terminal chamber were relatively dry, and the soil was less compacted. The entrance hole was horizontally oval, 10 × 8.5 cm in diameter, and was worn smooth, presumably by the movement of adults. The entrance was in the middle of a small clay protrusion and had a prominent lip below on which the adults perched.

FIGURE 1. Nests of the Andean Thripadectes treehunters reported in this study: (A) Tandayapa (Ecuador) nest of T. flammulatus built of ribbonlike strips of decayed tree-fern petioles; (B) Colombian nest of T. flammulatus built of grasslike materials and lined with treefern scales (photo courtesy of F. G. Stiles); (C) Tapichalaca (Ecuador) nest of T. holostictus built of rootlets; (D) Mushullacta (Ecuador) nest of T. melanorhynchus built of rachises of compound leaves.
a mixture of fine rootlets and pale ochraceous palm fibers. The lining layer was weakly differentiated from the surrounding cup. Material on the outside was coarse and loosely interwoven, whereas that of the lining was much finer and tighter. The top surface of the nest was thinly coated with nestlings’ white fecal material, and the nest smelled strongly of ammonia.

The nest contained two mid-aged nestlings with eyes already open and body masses of 41.5 and 40 g. Their rictal flanges were white, their mouth linings whitish pink. Their bare pink to bluish gray skin, paler white around the cloaca, was partially obscured dorsally with sparse gray down. Contour feathers protruded 1–2 mm from their sheaths ventrally and dorsally but not on the crown. On the wing, pin feathers were just breaking sheaths. Begging calls of the nestlings were loud and reminiscent of those of young Scytalopus tapaculos (Rhinocryptidae; Greeney, pers. obs.).

During 2 hr of videotaping (13:56–16:02), adults brought food to the nestlings five times. The intervals between feedings were 34, 2, 26, and 38 min, with the longest interval coinciding with 20 min of light rain and the shortest suggesting that two birds arrived with food in quick succession. The adults perched at the entrance before entering for 1–4 sec and remained inside the burrow for 15–46 sec. Because of dim light, we could not identify food items brought to nestlings on the video. Through binoculars, however, we observed three instances of adults bringing cicadas (Cicadidae, Homoptera) with the wings at least partially removed. While we were at the nest, the adults did not approach.

**Flammulated Treehunter (Thripadectes flammulatus)**

The three nests described here represent the first reported for this species and represent the nominate subspecies. On 14 September 2005 Greeney discovered a nest burrow at the Bellavista Cloudforest Reserve, 2500 m, along the road above the town of Tandayapa. The forest around the nest was mostly old growth, with large patches of *Chusquea* bamboo and a few scattered regrowing pastures. The burrow was excavated in the loose sandy soil of a roadside bank, 2.2 m above the ground, and 0.8 m below the top. The entrance hole was 8 cm wide by 9 cm tall and was conceivably reduced to half a meter overhead of vegetation and dirt. The tunnel was straight, angling only slightly upward and opening into a chamber 46 cm from the entrance. Although the chamber was not measured, from the nest’s size it was about 21 cm in diameter.

The nest was a bulky circular cup with an external diameter of 21 cm and height of 12 cm. The internal depression was 8 cm in diameter and 6 cm deep. The nest was constructed of treefern fronds and embedded rocks. Two poorly preserved eggs are white. One measures ca. 32.3 × 22.4 mm (length is approximate because of the hole at the small end); the other has a diameter of ca. 21.6 mm (only half of the egg is present). These measurements are close to the 33.6 × 22.6 mm reported for *T. flammulatus* by Schönwetter (1967).

**Peruvian Treehunter (Thripadectes scrutator)**

Two active burrows excavated in dirt banks have been found by B. M. Whitney (pers. comm.), but the structure and composition of actual nests were not observed.

**DISCUSSION**

Our review confirms that *Thripadectes* treehunters nest exclusively in subterranean burrows. The birds are capable of excavating their own burrows, as evidenced by the piles of fresh earth often present below the entrance and by observations of birds emerging from burrows with their bills coated with fresh dirt. All nests described to date have been shallow cups of vegetative material, lacking any lining of animal origin such as feathers or hair. In the four species known from more than one nest, the intraspecific variation in nest composition is minimal (*T. rufibrannaeus, T. melanorhynchus*, and *T. virgaticeps*) to moderate (*T. flammulatus*; see below). In contrast, differences among species in nest composition are pronounced (Table 1).

Plant materials used in nest construction fall into three general categories with rather different physical properties: rootlets (sinuous, wiry, branched), stems of compound leaves (nearly straight, elastic, unbranched), and grass and other plant debris (pliable, soft, often ribonlike and decayed). The exclusive or nearly exclusive...
use of rootlets is now known in three species: *T. rufobrunneus*, *T. holostictus*, and *T. virgaticeps*. The use of compound-leaf stems is still unique to *T. melanorhynchus*. Somewhat heterogeneous, soft, ribbonlike materials, including grass, *Chusquea* bamboo stalks, and decayed strips of treefern petioles, are used by *T. flammulatus*. In addition, treefern scales (ramenta) appear to be typical of *T. flammulatus* (all three nests known) and apparently are used on occasion by *T. rufobrunneus* (one of six nests).

The availability of certain plant materials used by *Thripadectes* is likely limited spatially or temporally. Ramenta, for example, are only available around treeferns, which are distributed patchily. Even more limited is the availability of inflorescences and seed panicles of *Chusquea* bamboo, given that these plants produce synchronous seed crops once every several years (Judziewicz et al. 1999). The pair of *T. flammulatus* with the nest of bamboo panicles at Tapichalaca may have been taking advantage of the massive bamboo seeding at that time (P. A. Hosner, pers. comm.).

On the basis of morphology and behavior, *Thripadectes* treehunters are part of a large group of furnariids including genera *Anabacerthia*, *Anabaenops*, *Ancistrops*, *Automolus*, *Cichlocolaptes*, *Hyloctistes*, *Hylorchyptus*, *Philydor*, *Simoxenops*, and *Syndactyla* (Remsen 2003). Besides *Ancistrops*, *Cichlocolaptes*, and *Simoxenops*, whose nesting biology is unknown, all these genera are cavity nesters that occupy not only subterranean tunnels but also cavities in trees, bamboo internodes, termite mounds, etc. (Zyskowski and Prum 1999). Recent molecular studies have further narrowed the list of putative sister taxa and hypothesized *Automolus*, *Hylorchyptus*, and *Hyloctistes* as sister genera of *Thripadectes* (Irestedt et al. 2006, Moyle et al. 2009).

All three sister genera include species that nest in burrows and are capable of excavating them. Most species of *Automolus* construct nests entirely of compound-leaf stems; only the Ruddy Foliage-gleaner (*A. rubiginosus*) uses rootlets or structurally similar fungal rhizomorphs (Zyskowski and Prum 1999). Of the two species of *Hylorchyptus* foliage-gleaners, the Henna-hooded (*H. erythrocephalus*) constructs nests mainly of fungal rhizomorphs and rootlets (E. T. Miller, M. B. Robbins, Zyskowski, unpubl. data), and the Chestnut-capped (*H. rectirostris*) reportedly uses fine sticks of uniform size (Faria et al. 2008). Finally, nest materials reported for the Striped Woodhunter (*Hyloctistes sublatus*) are compound-leaf rachises (N. T. Wheelwright in Zyskowski and Prum 1999; Greeney, unpubl. data) or dry twigs and grass (J. A. Chaves, pers. comm.). In our experience, partially decomposed rachises of pinnately compound tree leaves found on the forest floor are structurally similar and often mistaken for fine sticks or twigs. Thus, plant materials reported in nests of the sister genera match closely those used by *Thripadectes*.

The monophyly of the genus *Thripadectes* has not yet been tested with molecular data. One possible exception to the monophyly of the genus is the Uniform Treehunter (*T. ignobilis*), often described as aberrant because of its relatively small size, short bill, and apparently more arboreal foraging habits (Remsen 2003). Cory and Hellmayr (1925) and Ridgely and Tudor (1994) suggested that it should perhaps be separated generically from the other *Thripadectes* and perhaps placed in a monotypic genus. Unfortunately, the composition of this unique species’ nest remains unknown.

The variation in nest composition in the genus *Thripadectes* is only partially congruent with the phylogenetic relationships among species inferable from the similarities in morphology and vocalizations. The hypothesized sister relationship of *T. rufobrunneus* and *T. virgaticeps* (see Remsen 2003) is supported by both species’ nearly exclusive use of rootlets. However, on the basis of its plumage pattern, the third species that shares this apparent synapomorphy, *T. holostictus*, is considered part of the *T. flammulatus* group by Ridgely and Tudor (1994). Similarly, the occasional use of ramenta by *T. rufobrunneus* is an unlikely indicator of its phylogenetic affinity to the only other congener known to use this material, *T. flammulatus*. Among the species whose nests remain undescribed, *T. scrutator* of the Peruvian and Bolivian Andes is likely to have a nest similar to that of *T. flammulatus*, with which it forms a superspecies or may even be conspecific (Fjeldså and Krabbe 1990, Ridgely and Tudor 1994, Remsen 2003).

Despite the fact that this contribution nearly doubles the number of known nests of *Thripadectes*, our understanding of the intraspecific variation in nest architecture and its phylogenetic significance in this group remains inadequate. Many more nests from different parts of each species’ range need to be studied before it can be determined if the pattern of material specificity we describe reflects real species-specific preferences and if the use of a material is influenced by its availability. Future discoverers of nest burrows of *Thripadectes* are encouraged to extract and analyze the composition of nest cup when the nest is no longer active. Given the relatively large diameter and only moderate length of the burrow, most nests can be extracted by hand for examination and replaced without the burrow being excavated.
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**TABLE 1.** Summary of nest composition in *Thripadectes* treehunters. Sample sizes (*n*) are limited to nests extracted from burrows and examined for composition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Principal nest materials</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>T. ignobilis</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>References in Wetmore (1972), this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. rufobrunneus</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rootlets (1 also ramenta)</td>
<td>Kiff et al. (1989), this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. melanorhynchus</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Compound-leaf rachises</td>
<td>Greene and Nunnery (2006), this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. holostictus</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rootlets</td>
<td>Marin and Carrion (1994), Greeney and Nunnery (2006), this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. virgaticeps</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rootlets (1 also palm fibers)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. flammulatus</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Grass, bamboo stalks, and ramenta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. scrutator</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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